

Q6 With reference to Q5, do you think any of these objectives should be prioritised or omitted?

Answered: 126 Skipped: 179

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Prioritise: integration with Cullompton, encouraging use of the town's facilities, creating a healthy living environment.	3/4/2019 4:51 PM
2	Prioritize - integration with Cullompton	3/4/2019 4:43 PM
3	The connectivity and suitability of the roads in this locality should be prioritised	3/4/2019 4:39 PM
4	no response	3/4/2019 4:32 PM
5	no response	3/4/2019 4:12 PM
6	no response	3/4/2019 3:56 PM
7	no response	3/4/2019 3:49 PM
8	1 SMART energy solar etc must be there 2 Jobs for all there not Exeter 3 Affordable Housing 4 M5/A3231/Lanes need solution	3/4/2019 3:40 PM
9	Not sure	3/2/2019 1:12 AM
10	No	3/2/2019 12:56 AM
11	The pictures are idealistic, put there to lull people into believing this will take place. However you have left out the scrap cars, used sofas etc etc that will finish up in there. The priority would be: a. Enhance and respect existing landscape.	3/1/2019 8:10 PM
12	The pictures are idealistic, put there to lull people into believing this will take place. However you have left out the scrap cars, used sofas etc etc that will finish up in there. The priority would be: a. Enhance and respect existing landscape.	3/1/2019 8:08 PM
13	Ambitious employment opportunities should be prioritised so that primary earners do not have to travel further afield in order to earn a salary sufficient to pay a mortgage or rent. this will automatically help to improve work/lifetsyle ratios and contribute to the overall feeling of wellbeing you appear to want to create.	3/1/2019 7:45 PM
14	Some of us just don't want it so 1 put it in a suitable place and don't ruin another nice town FURTHER	3/1/2019 7:22 PM
15	Infrastructure first. Don't compromise on road, rail and data connectivity. Then ensure the community objectives are met. Otherwise it will just be another housing estate.	3/1/2019 7:08 PM
16	Well insulated homes.	3/1/2019 3:52 PM
17	N/A	3/1/2019 3:49 PM
18	The size of the village should be set from the beginning with its buffer zone, even if all is not built at the same time and no further expansion should be allowed in the future.	3/1/2019 3:39 PM
19	The village plan encroaches over Kentisbeare parish boundaries. This CAN NOT HAPPEN. Kentisbeare is a small village of distinct character and charm and must not be swallowed up as a suburb of Cullompton. Kentisbeare is very special.	3/1/2019 3:20 PM
20	N/A	3/1/2019 3:13 PM
21	Need further information regarding the smart technologies to better understand what is being proposed	3/1/2019 1:24 PM
22	the road network in this area is a problem and the new village would enhance that. In particular the motorway junction	3/1/2019 11:41 AM
23	I would prioritise 'creating a Healthy Living Environment'.	3/1/2019 12:44 AM

Culm Garden Village Vision & Concept (amended version)

24	The main thing is to ensure that the community facilities are built alongside, or even before in some cases, the housing and the occupation of same.	2/28/2019 11:20 PM
25	They should prioritised - but the difficulties/cost/likelihood should also be included and ranked.	2/28/2019 10:59 PM
26	I feel as though the objectives from the second phase should be omitted	2/28/2019 10:24 PM
27	Scale back your grandiose plan	2/28/2019 9:56 PM
28	No	2/28/2019 9:47 PM
29	Yes. Impact on existing communities and existing infrastructure. for example, Our back lanes over laod with traffic and arer no longer fit for purpose	2/28/2019 9:17 PM
30	No	2/28/2019 8:32 PM
31	Devepoment should have minimal impact on surrounding countryside allowing wildlife corridors to exist.	2/28/2019 8:32 PM
32	not sure	2/28/2019 8:30 PM
33	Integration and great homes should be. A priority	2/28/2019 7:43 PM
34	Stylish homes that people want to live in. Not characterless boxes. Excellent transport links	2/28/2019 7:42 PM
35	integration with Cullompton is very important - they should not com[ete, they should be complementary. Community pride and ownership should also be a priority	2/28/2019 6:19 PM
36	With a massive influx of people, priority should be given to the health of all people involved or impacted, additional employment suited to the influx should also be key to avoid pressurising the existing employment market further, and ensuring transport in, out and around the area will also have a huge bearing on these two issues.	2/28/2019 5:30 PM
37	Principle I Embed the Countryside within the Garden Village - All Objectives should be prioritised. Principle II A well connected and integrated new place - Objective E should be prioritised. Principle III Creating a healthy living environment - all Objectives should be prioritised. Principle IV Locally distinctive with well design neighbourhoods and place - Objective D should be prioritised. Principle V Community focused - Objective A should be prioritised. Principle VI Great homes - all Objectives should be prioritised. Principle VII Ambitious employment opportunities- Objective C should be prioritised. Principle VIII Future proofed smart and sustainable - Objective E and F should be prioritised. Principle IX Delivery and stewardship - Objective C should be prioritised.	2/28/2019 2:39 PM
38	Yes lets see the roads first. Then some development, but not 5000 houses. Nice one trying to slip in an extra development on the "Potential formal green infrastructure node".	2/28/2019 1:23 PM
39	Omitted	2/28/2019 9:48 AM
40	Yes defiantly. with out them you will be at the mercy of the money grabbing home builders, who don't care about the community, but only about building as many houses as possible.	2/28/2019 9:03 AM
41	See Q4	2/28/2019 2:10 AM
42	Yes	2/27/2019 10:50 PM
43	Equal weighting	2/27/2019 10:41 PM
44	Prioritise	2/27/2019 9:55 PM
45	No	2/27/2019 9:32 PM
46	Green spaces, community areas and sports facilities are essential.	2/27/2019 9:18 PM
47	See Q 5	2/27/2019 8:29 PM
48	See answer to 3.	2/27/2019 8:20 PM
49	Unsure	2/27/2019 8:14 PM
50	No comment.	2/27/2019 6:53 PM

Culm Garden Village Vision & Concept (amended version)

51	Priorities should be: - to respect the existing landscape, both in terms of visual and audible change (new communities and businesses can be noisy). - to integrate the community well with Cullompton - to be respectful of those in Kentisbeare who have chosen a different lifestyle to the one being offered in this new development - to enhance transport links - to be community focused, with gathering places that are large enough for the development in the long term - to be prioritising green space so this isn't another "toy town" estate with a standard set of box houses.	2/27/2019 1:02 PM
52	I worry that there will not be enough focus given to what the employment should be or how to attract in high quality high skilled jobs - we dont need more retail or distribution type businesses or logistics businesses	2/27/2019 9:08 AM
53	No	2/26/2019 10:55 PM
54	No train station No jobs No new motorway junction	2/26/2019 9:16 PM
55	Infrastructure needs to be guaranteed before this development is allowed to continue, this includes flood mitigation, upgrade to the local sewage system and a true buffer zone around surrounding communities.	2/26/2019 8:07 PM
56	to be discussed	2/26/2019 7:20 PM
57	Health & education	2/26/2019 4:42 PM
58	Main infrastructure. A373 and motorway access inadequate now should be prioritised.	2/26/2019 4:25 PM
59	Yes prioritise: 1) roads 2) shops 3) some sport & leisure early on 4) location of secondary school within Parish of Cullompton not in Kentisbeare Parish.	2/26/2019 3:36 PM
60	Principle I Embed the Countryside within the Garden Village - All Objectives should be prioritised. Principle II A well connected and integrated new place - Objective E should be prioritised. Principle III Creating a healthy living environment - all Objectives should be prioritised. Principle IV Locally distinctive with well design neighbourhoods and place - Objective D should be prioritised. Principle V Community focused - Objective A should be prioritised. Principle VI Great homes - all Objectives should be prioritised. Principle VII Ambitious employment opportunities- Objective C should be prioritised. Principle VIII Future proofed smart and sustainable - Objective E and F should be prioritised. Principle IX Delivery and stewardship - Objective C should be prioritised.	2/26/2019 10:06 AM
61	The community should reduced to phases in order that the effect on the existing community, roads, infrastructure, local service and amenities can be monitored before the next phase of works is considered. Traffic and flooding issues need addressing and monitoring first of all.	2/25/2019 11:04 PM
62	no	2/24/2019 5:48 PM
63	no	2/24/2019 5:36 PM
64	See Q3	2/24/2019 4:48 PM
65	omitted	2/24/2019 4:33 PM
66	See above	2/24/2019 3:20 PM
67	See above	2/24/2019 3:04 PM
68	The 'village ' facilities and recreational areas need to be in place during the building and not at the end of the project.	2/24/2019 10:12 AM
69	Yes,road infrastructure,cycle/bridleways and footpaths,omitting Cullompton railway station.	2/24/2019 12:48 AM
70	Need to prioritise delivery, and start serious work on delivery mechanisms now rather than later	2/22/2019 6:08 PM
71	Perhaps my suggestion above should be the overarching objective	2/20/2019 5:01 PM
72	The way in which it is going to be integrated. This means, infrastructure, services such as schools, doctors in place prior to the residential development and integrated green areas for recreation etc and employment.	2/20/2019 3:48 PM
73	I agree with all the objectives and would want them all retained.	2/20/2019 6:12 AM
74	No	2/19/2019 10:41 PM
75	As said previously, transport infrastructure and services.	2/19/2019 6:26 PM
76	Same as my comments in question 3 as its the same question	2/19/2019 6:17 PM
77	Education	2/19/2019 12:21 PM

Culm Garden Village Vision & Concept (amended version)

78	They should not be happening at all	2/18/2019 10:47 PM
79	Prioritise non minimum wage employment opportunities and the environment	2/18/2019 1:32 PM
80	Need much more about sustainable transport.	2/18/2019 10:48 AM
81	Scrap the whole scheme.	2/16/2019 5:02 PM
82	Transport / M5 improvements are critical, both for the new town and for the lives of existing residents and businesses in the area who already have to cope with congestion around the inadequate J28.	2/14/2019 10:31 AM
83	Principle II - A well connected and integrated new place - Objective E - 'The re opening of Cullompton Railway Station' should be prioritised. Principle IV Locally distinctive with well designed neighbourhood and places - Objective D - 'Exemplary Public Realm' should be prioritised. Principle V - Community focused - Objective A - 'Co-ordinated and timely delivery of services, facilities and infrastructure' should be prioritised. Principle VII - Ambitious employment opportunities - Objective C - 'Well connected' should be prioritised. Principle IX - Delivery and Stewardship - Objective C - Efficient and effective delivery should be prioritised.	2/13/2019 3:50 PM
84	Community building - not just houses/physical landscape but communities/people.	2/12/2019 5:12 PM
85	No, all on same level.	2/12/2019 4:47 PM
86	As above.	2/12/2019 1:38 PM
87	Yes - definitely school	2/12/2019 12:28 PM
88	community focus should be at the top of the pile. as for ommitting any no.	2/11/2019 8:05 PM
89	Not particularly	2/11/2019 4:06 PM
90	No	2/11/2019 3:22 PM
91	Transport	2/11/2019 1:57 PM
92	Smart technological automation is not good for the environment, too much energy would be used	2/10/2019 7:17 PM
93	Priorities strong communities like sports clubs and energy currency and low emissions	2/10/2019 6:54 PM
94	Integrated countryside	2/10/2019 3:39 PM
95	Not sure	2/8/2019 9:49 PM
96	No	2/8/2019 8:13 PM
97	No	2/8/2019 8:08 PM
98	I am concerned for existing sports and leisure clubs and where they will go that is affordable and sustainable and expandable	2/8/2019 4:48 PM
99	Probably	2/8/2019 3:54 PM
100	town centre parking in Cullompton and new jobs paramount	2/8/2019 3:26 PM
101	Sports facilities	2/8/2019 3:14 PM
102	No	2/8/2019 12:54 PM
103	No	2/8/2019 12:25 PM
104	No	2/8/2019 12:22 PM
105	no	2/8/2019 11:27 AM
106	No	2/8/2019 11:12 AM
107	YES THE NEED FOR SPORTING FACILITIES IS A MUST	2/8/2019 10:47 AM
108	No	2/8/2019 10:38 AM
109	Cycle/walking routes that connect all local villages, with priority on safe access to Schools accross the Culm Valley	2/8/2019 10:30 AM
110	Keep the countryside as an important asset.	2/8/2019 10:15 AM
111	?	2/8/2019 10:13 AM

Culm Garden Village Vision & Concept (amended version)

112	They are all pretty important, it will be a difficult task to prioritise any sustainable or green infrastructure until the main body of contractual work has been completed.	2/8/2019 9:58 AM
113	None of the objectives of the plan should be prioritised as this plan should not be allowed to go ahead.	2/8/2019 12:17 AM
114	I think you are trying to make out that this will be good for the local people, when there is nothing advantages at all.	2/7/2019 8:26 PM
115	Prioritise sport leisure and infrastructure. Please also ensure adequate parking per house which new developments in Cullompton have failed to allow for.	2/7/2019 6:34 PM
116	Don't build the village	2/7/2019 2:48 PM
117	The priority is infrastructure. 1) ease of access to Cullompton 2) Ditto M5 3) Revitalization of Cullompton centre 4) adequate parking to access Cullompton shops 5) Major improvement to Cullompton/Honiton main road.	2/7/2019 2:15 PM
118	I think community building should be a priority.	2/6/2019 11:10 AM
119	No	2/6/2019 10:23 AM
120	The whole scheme should be omitted.	2/6/2019 8:53 AM
121	No	2/5/2019 8:41 PM
122	All dropped like the project	2/4/2019 6:32 PM
123	Transport has to be a priority, as stated above	2/3/2019 4:21 PM
124	All of them	2/3/2019 2:53 PM
125	the priority must be quality of life and integration with existing town	1/31/2019 6:38 PM
126	See above. It has been statistically proven that if you involve people in growing and cooking their own food then communities are build which cross age and cultural boundaries. Create a fabulous series of allotments and gardens with a community space in the middle for courses, and gathering and sharing.	1/31/2019 5:14 PM